



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/753,768 | 01/02/2001 | Scott D. Redmond | PA4181US | 2019 |
| 22830 | 7590 | 03/23/2009 | | |
| CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 GENG ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94303 | | | EXAMINER SHELEHEDA, JAMES R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2424 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/23/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/753,768

Applicant(s)

REDMOND, SCOTT D.

Examiner

JAMES SHELEHEDA

Art Unit

2424

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-39 and 41-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-39 and 41-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-34, 36, 38, 39 and 41-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 01/16/09, regarding claims 35 and 37, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. On pages 9-10, applicant argues that the specification supports the capability to wirelessly send and receive video content with "local" wireless devices.

In response, the cited portion of the specification discloses wherein a user's wireless device may receive video content from a video server (page 9, lines 18-21).

The specification further states that a remote wireless device may act as a telephone array or similar wireless carrier (page 10, lines 5-11).

There is no specific support for "wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from the content server via one *or more* remote wireless devices".

In response to applicant's arguments in regards to claim 37, while the device may receive audio/video through a subscribed wireless information

service (page 4, lines 18-23 and page 5, lines 14-28) and *additionally* may form a local area network with compatible devices within range (page 4, lines 23-27).

The specification states that a remote wireless device may act as a telephone array or similar wireless carrier (page 10, lines 5-11), there is no specific support for the "remote wireless device" to receive audio/video from a second remote wireless device as recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 35 recites "wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from the content server via one or more remote wireless devices", which is not supported in the specification as originally filed. While the device may receive audio/video through a subscribed wireless information service with a video server (page 4, lines 18-23 and page 5, lines 14-28) which may be provided by a remote wireless

device (page 10, lines 5-11), there is no support for receiving the audio/video content from more than one remote wireless device.

Claim 37 recites "the first remote wireless device having received the audio/video content from a second remote wireless device", which is not supported in the specification as originally filed. While the device may receive audio/video through a subscribed wireless information service (page 4, lines 18-23 and page 5, lines 14-28) and may communicate with a remote wireless device (page 10, lines 5-11), there is no support for the remote wireless device to receive audio/video selections from a second remote wireless device as recited in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 20-39 and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran (6,202,060) (of record) in view of Whiteside (5,835,861) (of record) and Delamater (5,903,548).

As to claim 20, Tran discloses a portable wireless media access device (10, Fig. 1; column 4, lines 66-67 and column 5, lines 1-4), comprising:

a transceiver (wireless transceiver, 31) configured to transact a wireless communications session (connected to complete a particular request; column 18, lines 53-65) over a wireless network (column 7, lines 40-52 and column 18, lines 53-65);

memory (Fig. 1; RAM, 22) configured to store audio/video content (column 18, lines 27-31 and column 19, lines 34-50); and

a user interface (keypad, 24) configured to receive instructions (column 18, lines 27-31, column 19, lines 34-50 and column 7, lines 28-52) related to audio/video content stored in the memory (transmitted media to the TV for playback; column 14, lines 41-50).

While Tran discloses a remote wireless device capable of wireless communication with the portable wireless media access device, the wireless communication occurring over the wireless network (column 6, line 38-column 7, line 27), he fails to specifically disclose a proximity sensor coupled to the transceiver configured to scan for, detect and notify a user of a remote wireless device capable of transacting a wireless communication session with the portable wireless media access device.

In an analogous art, Whiteside discloses a portable wireless device (Fig. 1; cell phone, 10) which uses a transmitter and receiver (column 1, lines 59-64) to scan and detect a portable wireless media access device capable of wireless communication with the portable wireless device (transmitter/receiver; column 1, line 58-column 2, line 18) to receive content (vendor telephone number; column 2, lines 13-22) for the typical benefit

of providing a convenient way for a wireless user to easily acquire a vendor telephone number from a passing billboard (column 1, lines 14-24).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Delamater discloses a portable wireless device (Fig. 2; 10) which will scan and detect a portable wireless media access device capable of wireless communication with the portable wireless device (LAN vs WAN; column 5, lines 34-48) and notify the user (column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33) for the typical benefit of identifying and alerting the user to what type of communications network is currently available for communication (column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Tran's system to include a proximity sensor configured to scan for and detect a remote wireless device capable of wireless communication with the portable wireless media access device, as taught by Whiteside, for the typical benefit for allowing a user of a portable wireless device to easily acquire advertiser information from billboards.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Tran and Whiteside's system to include notifying a user of a remote wireless device of a remote wireless device, as taught by Delamater, for the typical benefit of identifying and alerting the user to what type of communications network is currently available for communication.

As to claim 21, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network (see Tran at column 18, lines 27-31, column 19, lines 34-50 and column 7, line 28-52).

As to claim 22, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is streamed over the wireless network (see Tran at column 7, line 3-27).

As to claim 23, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is pulsed over the wireless network (digital; see Tran at column 7, line 3-27).

As to claim 24, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the memory includes a removable memory card (see Tran at Fig. 1; PCMCIA expandable storage).

As to claim 25, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the proximity sensor automatically and continuously scans for the remote wireless device (see Delamater at column 5, lines 34-48 and column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33).

As to claim 26, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the proximity sensor is further configured to connect to the remote wireless device in response to an instruction receive via the user interface, the user interface being further configured to receive instructions related to an interaction with the remote wireless device (see

Whiteside at column 1, line 58-column 2, line 18 and Delamater at column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33).

As to claim 27, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the transceiver is further configured to initiate the wireless communications session with the remote wireless device detected by the proximity sensor, the wireless communication occurring over the wireless network (see Whiteside at column 1, line 58-column 2, line 18 and see Delamater at column 5, lines 34-48 and column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33).

As to claim 30, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the remote wireless device is a media display device configured to exchange interactive content with the portable media access device (billboard; see Whiteside at Fig. 1).

As to claim 31, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the media display device is a billboard (billboard; see Whiteside at Fig. 1).

As to claims 28, 29 and 32, while Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose communicating with a remote wireless device, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the device is a cellular phone or kiosk.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to communicate with a kiosk and cellular phone for transmitting/receiving data, which are both readily available and distributed, for the

typical benefit of taking advantage of widely-distributed existing devices for providing communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Tran, Whiteside and Delamater's system to include wherein the device is a cellular phone or kiosk for the typical benefit of taking advantage of widely-distributed existing devices for providing communication.

As to claim 33, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from a content server (Internet server; column 19, lines 23-50).

As to claim 34, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the transceiver is further configured to establish a local area network comprising one or more remote wireless devices detected by the proximity sensor (column 1, line 58-column 2, line 39 and see Delamater at column 5, lines 34-48 and column 6, line 59-column 7, line 33).

As to claim 35, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from a content server via an intermediate remote wireless device that is communicatively connected to the local area network (column 6, line 26-column 7, line 52).

As to claim 36, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from a remote wireless device detected by the proximity sensor (column 6, line 26-column 7, line 27).

As to claim 37, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received over the wireless network from an intermediate remote wireless device that is communicatively connected to the local area network, the intermediate remote wireless device having received the audio/video content from another remote wireless device that is communicatively connected to the localized area network (column 6, line 26-column 7, line 52).

As to claim 38, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein the audio/video content is received as one or more segments (packetized data; column 6, line 26-column 7, line 27).

As to claim 39, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose wherein a first segment of the audio/video content is received from a first source and a second segment of the audio/video content is received from a second source (see Tran at column 6, line 38-column 7, line 27).

As to claim 41, while Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose a remote wireless device detected by the proximity sensor, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the

device is identified by a serial number corresponding to that particular remote wireless device.

The examiner takes Official Notice that it was notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention by applicant to utilize unique serial numbers to identify particular devices, so as to provide security by identifying valid or "safe" devices and for allowing systems to readily identify a device and its corresponding use, thereby taking advantage of a well-known method for uniquely identifying electronic devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Tran, Whiteside and Delamater's system to include wherein the device is identified by a serial number corresponding to that particular remote wireless device for the typical benefit of taking advantage of a well-known method for uniquely identifying electronic devices.

As to claim 42, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose a serial port for exchanging information with an external device via a serial cable (see Tran at column 12, lines 7-36).

As to claim 43, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose a docking port for exchanging information with an external device via a docking station (proprietary docking port; see Tran at column 12, lines 7-36).

As to claim 44, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose at least one audio/video port for providing audio/video content to an external playback device, wherein playback is controlled by the user interface of the portable wireless media access device (see Tran at Fig. 3; column 14, line 41-column 15, line 10 and column 16, line 50-column 17, line 25).

As to claim 45, Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose a digital camera configured to record video content for transmission via the wireless network (see Tran at column 6, line 38-column 7, line 27).

6. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran, Whiteside and Delamater as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Sizer, II et al. (Sizer) (6,021,432) (of record).

As to claim 46, while Tran, Whiteside and Delamater disclose at least one audio/video selection received over the wireless network, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the receipt of the audio/video includes receipt of data transmitted over a radio sideband carrier frequency.

In an analogous art, Sizer discloses a portable device (104; column 5, lines 4-16) which will receive data transmitted over a radio sideband carrier frequency accompanying transmitted audio/video data (column 2, line 60-column 3, line 3) for the typical benefit of providing users with additional forms of relevant data along with broadcast transmissions (column 2, line 60-column 3, line 3 and column 4, lines 3-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Tran, Whiteside and Delamater's system to include wherein the receipt of the audio/video includes receipt of data transmitted over a radio sideband carrier frequency, as taught by Sizer, for the typical benefit of providing users with additional forms of relevant data along with broadcast transmissions.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () _____ - _____ on _____.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: _____

Registration Number: _____

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES SHELEHEDA whose telephone number is

(571)272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Sheleheda/
Examiner, Art Unit 2424

JS